

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/770,562	01/26/2001	William J. Curatolo	PC9674AJTJ	8513
Gregg C. Benso	7590 08/31/2007		EXAM	INER
Pfizer Inc. Patent Department, MS 4159 Eastern Point Road			FUBARA, BLESSING M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Groton, CT 06340			1618	
		•		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/31/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/770,562	CURATOLO ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Blessing M. Fubara	1618				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
• •	Z IS SET TO EVOIDE 4 MONTH	(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAVE				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 Ju	<u>ine 2007</u> .					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,4,15,17,22,23,26,28-38,49-51 and 53-56</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) <u>1, 4, 15, 17, 22, 23, 26, 28-38, 49-51</u>	and 53-56, are subject to restrict	ion and/or election requirement				
0)23 Oldim(3) 1, 4, 10, 11, 22, 23, 20, 20-30, 43-31	and ob-ob- are subject to restrict	ion and/or election requirement.				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	•				
Trip The ball of declaration is objected to by the Ex	ammer. Note the attached Office	ACTION OF IONIT PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	• •					
 Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau 	•	ed in this National Stage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	ed.				
	·					
Attachment(s)	∆ □ 1-1-1 0	(DTO 440)				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	Patent Application				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06) Art Unit: 1618

DETAILED ACTION

Examiner acknowledges receipt of request for continued examination filed under 37 CFR 1.114, amendment and remarks, all filed 6/21/07. Claims 1, 4, 15, 17, 22, 23, 26, 28-38, 49-51 and 53-56 are pending. Upon further review of the claims, election of a specific drug is necessary as described below.

Election/Restrictions

1. Claim 1 is generic to the following disclosed patentably distinct species: namely the drug species claimed in claims 29-35, 37-38 as noted below,

specific drug in claim 34

Application/Control Number: 09/770,562

Art Unit: 1618

specific drug in claim 35, which are species of glycogen phosphorylase inhibitor,

Page 3

5-lipoxygenase inhibitor and corticotropic releasing hormone inhibitor; ziprasidone, nifedipine, griseofulvin and phenytoin.

The species are independent or distinct because each drug species is capable of supporting different patents within the art. It also places tremendous burden on the examiner to search for the broad glycogen phosphorylase inhibitor, 5-lipoxygenase inhibitor and corticotropic releasing hormone inhibitor and then also search for the individual species in claims 29, 30, 32, 34 and 35; then further search for the antipsychotic drug ziprasidone in claim 36 and 37, and then search for any of the drugs in claim 38. Therefore, applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, that is, one specific disclosed drug, even though this requirement is traversed. Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the **allowance of a generic claim**, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an

allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

2. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

3. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Blessing M. Fubara whose telephone number is (571) 272-0594. The examiner can normally be reached on 7 a.m. to 5:30 p.m. (Monday to Thursday).

Application/Control Number: 09/770,562

Page 5

Art Unit: 1618

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael G. Hartley can be reached on (571) 272-0616. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Blessing Fubara
Patent Examiner

Tech. Center 1600